SI. No.	STPI Centres	States
23.	Chennai	Tamilnadu
24.	Coimbatore	Tamilnadu
25.	Trichy	Tamilnadu
26.	Madurai	Tamilnadu
27.	Mohali	Punjab
28.	Jaipur	Rajasthan
29.	Guwahati	Assam
30.	Kolkata	West Bengal
31.	Indore	Madhya Pradesh
32.	Srinagar	Jammu & Kashmir
33.	Shimla	Himachal Pradesh
34.	Bhilai	Chhattisgarh
35.	Pondicherry	Pondicherry

Statement-II

List of centres which are at various stages of implementation

S.No.	STP! Centres	States
1.	Allahabad	Uttar Pradesh
2.	Gurgaon	Haryana West
3.	Durgapur	Bengal West
4.	Kharagpur	Bengal
5.	Thirunalvelli	Tamilnadu
6.	Gangtok	Sikkim
7.	Nasik	Maharashtra
8.	Kolhapur	Maharashtra
9.	Agartala	Tripura

Towers on residential complexes

916. SHRI LAJPAT RAI: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the cellular operators have built towers on the residential complexes without taking the permission of the landowners and/or the competent authority in Delhi;

(b) if so, Government's stand on such illegal constructions?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (SHRIMATI SUMITRA MAHAJAN): (a) Sir towers (Cell-sites) have been installed on buildings to provide Cellular Mobile Telephone Service (CMTS), As per information provided by Cellular Operators Association of India (COAI) on behalf of Cellular Operators, these installations have been done after taking permission of the concerned owners. The Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) has stated that the Standing Committee of the Corporation *vide'&s* Resolution dated 11.10.2000 has approved the proposal of Commissioner for giving permission for installation of temporary structures on roof-tops of various premises for Cellular Mobile Phone Services. The permission shall be accorded subject to certain conditions, *inter-aiia,* charging one time charges of Rs. One Lakh per site;

(b) The unified Building Bye-Laws 1983 applicable in the jurisdiction of the National Capital Territory Delhi are enforced by the local bodies and action against unauthorized construction would be taken where such structures are already in existence without a valid permission in case the owner does not come forward for getting the same regularized. A court case has been filed in Delhi High Court (Civil Writ Peittion No. 3863 of 2002) in which Union of India including Delhi Urban Art Commission are respondents.The case came up for hearing on 9.7.2002 and respondents have been asked to file replies within six weeks time. Case is presently subjudice.

Edible oils for Andhra Pradesh

917. DR. DASARI NARAYANA RAO: Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state:

(a) the monthly requirement of edible oils in Andhra Pradesh;

(b) wether the requirement is being met through the Central allocation for PDS of the State;

(c) whether State Government has demanded more edible oils for its PDS in the recent past; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and the action taken by Government thereon?